JOURNAL OF VETERINARY AND APPLIED SCIENCES

VOLUME 14, ISSUE 2: 744 - 769 (2024)

Published by: Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, NigeriaISSN: 2315-6856;e-ISSN: 2636-5553;Website: www.jvasonline.com

Antibiogram and risk factors for carriage of some antimicrobial-resistant bacteria species in chickens (*Gallus domesticus*) in Maiduguri, Northeast Nigeria

Jallailudeen R. Lawal¹*, Juliana J. Ndahi², Fatima A. Lawan², Francis E. Ejeh², Hafiz Y. Sadiq¹, Maryam J. Sarki¹, Ibrahim Hussain¹

¹ Department of Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Maiduguri, P.M.B. 1069, Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria.

² Department of Veterinary Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Maiduguri, P.M.B 1069, Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria.

Abstract

The misuse of antibiotics in poultry farming is a growing concern due to the emergence and spread of antimicrobial-resistant bacteria. This study investigated the prevalence and antibiotic resistance profiles of some bacterial species isolated from broiler and layer chickens in Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria. A total of 600 chickens from backyard and commercial poultry farms were sampled. Standard bacteriological methods were used for isolation and identification of Escherichia coli, Salmonella spp., Staphylococcus spp., Streptococcus spp. and Enterococcus spp. The antibiotic susceptibility of these isolates was assessed using the disk diffusion method. Results showed that 80.3% of the chickens harbored at least one of the targeted bacterial species. E. coli was the most prevalent (45.8%), followed by Salmonella spp. (37.3%), Staphylococcus spp. (15.3%), Streptococcus spp. (13.5%), and Enterococcus spp. (8.7%). Antibiotic resistance testing revealed high levels of resistance across all isolates, with multi-drug resistance recorded in a significant number of cases. E. coli and Salmonella spp. exhibited resistance rates exceeding 50% to ampicillin, amoxicillin, and penicillin G. A survey of 150 poultry farmers highlighted that 78.7% use antibiotics prophylactically, often based on advice from non-veterinarians, which is a statistically significant (p < 0.001) risk factor for the emergence of resistant bacteria. Only 16.7% of farmers obtained antibiotics based on veterinary prescriptions, and awareness of antimicrobial resistance among farmers was relatively low (42.0%). The outcome of the study underscores the urgent need for improved antibiotic stewardship and farmer education to mitigate the risk of antibiotic resistance in poultry farming.

Keywords: Anti-microbial resistance; Poultry; Farmers; Enterobacteria; Chickens; Microbiota; Gram-positive organisms; Stewardship; Maiduguri Nigeria.

* Correspondence: Jallailudeen R. Lawal; Email: <u>rabana4real@unimaid.edu.ng</u>; <u>P</u>hone: +2348032886428
Article History: Initial manuscript submission received – February 12, 2024; Final revised form received – September 26, 2024; Accepted for publication – October 23, 2024; Published – October 30, 2024.

Introduction

Poultry farming serves as a critical source of protein for an expanding global populace. However, the widespread use of antibiotics in this industry has sparked concerns with regards to the proliferation of antibiotic-resistant pathogens. Globally, antibiotic resistance poses a significant threat to both human and animal health, stemming from the excessive and often inappropriate utilization of antibiotics across various sectors, including agriculture and veterinary medicine (Larsson and Flach, 2022; Caneschi *et al.*, 2023; Salam *et al.*, 2023).

Antibiotic resistance occurs as microorganisms evolve to withstand antibiotic treatments, leading to the ineffectiveness of these drugs. The consequences of antibiotic resistance extend across public health, healthcare systems, economies and food security. It undermines the efficacy of antibiotics, resulting in prolonged illnesses, escalated healthcare costs, treatment failures and complications in medical procedures (Serwecińska, 2020; Uddin *et al.*, 2021; Mo *et al.*, 2023).

In agriculture, including poultry farming, antibiotics are commonly used for disease prevention and growth promotion (Galadima et al., 2018; Andrew et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020). Antibiotic-resistant pathogens in poultry can contaminate food products, posing risks to human health through the food chain (Murugaiyan et al., 2022). Moreover, antibiotic resistance in poultry directly impacts human and animal health (Okon et al., 2022). Misuse of antibiotics in poultry farming can constrain treatment options for common infectious pathogens, leading to increased mortality rates (Pulingam et al., 2022; Habiba et al., 2023). It also jeopardizes animal welfare, livestock industry sustainability, and inflicts economic losses on farmers (Ma et al., 2021; Samreen et al., 2021; Salam et al., 2023). Furthermore, concerns arise regarding zoonotic diseases, as resistant bacteria in poultry can potentially transfer to humans, exacerbating the overall antibiotic resistance dilemma. Despite the implementation of stringent regulations governing antimicrobial use in many countries, enforcement gaps persist, contributing to the unchecked utilization of antimicrobials. Nigeria, being one of Africa's largest poultry producers in Africa, confronts the pressing challenge of regulating antibiotic use and addressing its repercussions in this sector (Andrew *et al.*, 2020; Achi *et al.*, 2021).

In the northeastern region of Nigeria, particularly in Maiduguri, the capital city of Borno State, poultry farming serves as one of the cornerstone of livelihoods and sustenance for many small holder poultry farmers and households. However, the prevalence of antimicrobial resistance in poultry within this region has not been adequately investigated/reported, despite its critical implications for both animal and human health. The present study investigated the antibiogram and identified the risk factors associated with the carriage of antimicrobialresistant Enterobacteria species in chickens (Gallus domesticus) in Maiduguri, Northeast Nigeria.

Materials and Methods

Study area: The study area is Maiduguri, which is the capital and largest city of Borno State, located within the Sahel Savannah zone of the Northeastern region of Nigeria. It lies approximately between 11° 5′ and 11.83° N latitude and 13° 09′ and 13.50° E longitude. The agricultural landscape in Maiduguri, Borno State, includes a substantial presence of livestock farming, with a particular emphasis on poultry (Makinta *et al.*, 2019). The poultry industry is a vital component of the local economy, contributing significantly to both food production and economic livelihoods.

Study Scope: The study focused on layers and broiler chickens from backyard and commercial poultry farms situated within the study area.

Study Design: A longitudinal observational study was conducted from February to November, 2023 in backyard and commercial poultry farms that keep only chickens within Maiduguri metropolis. Chickens in a total of 150 poultry farms were sampled, of which only 25 were registered with the Poultry Clinic of the University of Maiduguri Veterinary Teaching Hospital (UMVTH). Farms of varying sizes were included in the study to capture a representative sample, from small-scale to large-scale operations.

Informed Consent from Farm Caretakers: Samples were collected from farms whose owners/managers have given their informed consent to participate in the study.

Sample collection, bacterial isolation, and identification: A total of 600 chickens were sampled, from which 300 oral swabs and 300 cloacal swabs were collected, randomly. The swab samples were transported on ice to the Department of Veterinary Medicine Research and Teaching Laboratory, University of Maiduguri, Nigeria and processed immediately on arrival for *Salmonella, Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus,* and *Enterococcus* isolation. The protocols involved in the isolation and identification of the Enterobacteria were carried out according to standard procedure as previously described by Şahan *et al.* (2022) and Ahmed and Gulhan (2024)

Bacteriological (Microbiology) Analysis of Samples: Cell Morphology, Staining Characteristics, and Bacteria Identification: Samples collected underwent а preenrichment process through inoculation into tryptic soy broth (TSB), a non-selective medium following standard microbiological procedures. The samples were then incubated at 37°C for 24 hours to foster the growth of a broad spectrum of bacteria. A fraction of the

pre-enriched sample was transferred onto various agar plates containing selective enrichment media, including Mitis Salivarius Agar, Baird-Parker agar with egg yolk tellurite, Eosin Methylene Blue (EMB) agar, MacConkey agar, malachite green-based Rappaport-Vassilliadis (UK) medium with soya (RVS) broth, Selenite F broth (Himedia), and Bile Esculin Agar, specific for presumptive bacteria. All inoculated plates were then incubated at 37°C for 24 hours, and the selective plates were observed for colony growth. All processes were carried out according to standard procedure as previously described by Sahan et al. (2022) and Ahmed and Gulhan (2024).

Observations included the colony morphology of *Streptococcus* species, *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus* species, *Salmonella* species, and *Enterococcus* species. Pure cultures of each bacterial type were obtained following standard procedures as previously described by OIE (2000). Gram staining, carried out according to standard procedures, was used to identify Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. Bacteriological examinations were conducted using the standard method for facultative anaerobic bacteria, following the procedures outlined by Waite and Taylor (2014).

Among the various characteristics examined, cell morphology traits such as shape (bacilli, spiral, filamentous) and the arrangement of bacterial cells, appearing in chains and clusters, were observed under a compound microscope after staining.

The isolated organisms underwent a series of biochemical tests, including the lactose fermentation test, oxidase test, catalase test, indole test, methyl red test, Voges-Proskauer test, citrate (IMViC), motility, and urease test. These tests were conducted following the standard procedures outlined by Cheesbrough (1985). Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing: Antimicrobial susceptibility assays for all bacterial isolates were conducted using the disk diffusion method on Mueller-Hinton agar (Oxoid Ltd, Hampshire, UK), according to the guidelines of Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2022).

The isolates were evaluated for susceptibility and resistance by impregnation with the following 19 commercially available antimicrobial agents used in veterinary and human applications belonging to eight classes: (i). Beta-lactamase inhibitors - Amoxicillin-Clavulanic acid (AMC, 30 µg), Ampicillin (AMP 10 µg), Amoxicillin (AMX 10 µg), Penicillin G (PEN 10 units), and Ceftazidime (CET 30 µg); (ii). Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin (STP 10 μg), Gentamicin (GEN 10 μg), and Neomycin (NEO 30 µg); (iii). Fluoroquinolones -Ciprofloxacin (CIP 10 µg), Ofloxacin (OFX 5 µg), Enrofloxacin (ENR 10 µg), and Levofloxacin (LEV 5 μg); (iv). Cephalosporin – Ceftriaxone (CEF 30 µg); (v). Nitrofuran derivative – Nitrofurantoin (NIT 300 μg); (vi). Diaminopyrimidine with sulfonamide -Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole (Cotrimoxazole) (TRI/SUL 30 μg); (vii). Macrolides - Tylosin (TLY 10 µg) and

Erythromycin (ERY 15 μ g); and (viii). Tetracyclines – Oxytetracycline (OXY 10 μ g) and Doxycycline (30 μ g).

Diameter of the zone of inhibition around the antibiotic disc for each isolated bacterial strain was measured and recorded according to the CLSI guidelines (CLSI, 2022) as sensitive, intermediate or resistant. Intermediate results were considered as resistant (Ema *et al.*, 2022). Multiple antibiotic-resistant (MAR) phenotypes were recorded for isolates showing resistance to three or more antimicrobial agents (Peruzy *et al.*, 2020).

Questionnaire Survey: The questionnaire for this study was carefully crafted to investigate the use/misuse of antimicrobial agents among commercial poultry farmers in Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria. A thorough review of relevant literature on antibiotic resistance in poultry farming guided the formulation of questions covering different factors contributing to antimicrobial resistance in bacterial microbiota among commercial broilers and laying chickens in the region.

To ensure the questionnaire's reliability and validity, a panel of experts in poultry farming, veterinary medicine, and public health scrutinized the questions and provided feedback for clarity, comprehensiveness, and relevance. A pilot study with a small group of poultry farmers from Maiduguri helped further refine the questionnaire based on feedback.

Before the main data collection, the questionnaire underwent pre-testing to assess its effectiveness. A sample of poultry farmers representative of the target population received the questionnaire, aiming to evaluate the instructions' clarity, question comprehensibility, relevance of response options, and overall flow. Feedback from pretest participants guided adjustments to ensure the questionnaire's suitability.

The finalized questionnaire included sections critical aspects addressing related to antimicrobial use and resistance in poultry farming. These sections covered inquiries the reasons for antimicrobial about administration, the individuals prescribing antimicrobials, frequency and types of antimicrobials used, and poultry farmers' awareness and knowledge of antimicrobial resistance pathogens.

Data Analysis: Data analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism software (GraphPad Inc., San Diego, CA). Data obtained from the study were presented as percentages. The variances in the occurrence of bacterial microbiota isolates in the samples gathered from both broilers and layer chickens were examined using the Chi-square test, differences were considered significant for p-

values equal to or less than 0.05. Analysis for the relative risks and odds ratios was estimated using the GraphPad Prism software. Calculation of the lower and upper limits of the 95% confidence interval (CI) for a proportion was done according to the methods described by Newcombe (1998).

Results

Prevalence of Bacterial Organisms in Oral and Cloacal Swabs of Broilers and Layers: A summary of the prevalence of bacterial microbiota species isolated from chickens in Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria, is presented in Table 1. Out of the 600 chickens sampled, bacterial microbiota of five different species, namely Escherichia coli, Salmonella species, Staphylococcus species, Streptococcus species and Enterococcus species were isolated in pure cultures from 482 (80.3%) chickens. Among the bacterial microbiota isolates, Escherichia coli was identified as the most prevalent organism: E. coli was isolated from 275 chickens, with a prevalence of 45.8% (95% CI: 41.9% – 49.8%) followed in descending order, by *Salmonella* species (15.7%; 95% CI: 13.0% – 18.8%), *Staphylococcus* (8.0%; 95% CI: 6.1% – 10.5%), *Streptococcus* species (6.3%; 95% CI: 4.7% – 8.6%), and *Enterococcus* species (4.5%; 95% CI: 3.1% – 6.5%) [Table 1].

A comparative analysis of the prevalence of the bacterial microbiota in oral swabs from broilers and layer chickens in Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria is summarized in Table 2. Out of the 300 broilers and 300 layer chickens sampled, Escherichia coli were isolated from 89 (29.7%) broilers and 14 (4.7%) layer chickens. The prevalence of Escherichia coli was significantly (p < 0.0001) higher in broilers when compared to layers. Moreover, out of the 300 broilers and 300 layer chickens sampled, Staphylococcus species were isolated from 26 (8.7%) broilers and 22 (7.3%) layers, and there was no significant difference (p =in the 0.5472) the prevalence of Staphylococcus species in broilers and layers. Streptococcus species were isolated from 23 (7.7%) broilers and 15 (5.0%) layer chickens, and the prevalence of Streptococcus did not significantly (p = 0.1799) differ between broilers and layers.

 Table 1. Prevalence of bacterial microbiota species in oral and cloacal swabs of 600 chickens in

 Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria

Bacteria	Number (%)	95% CI	
Escherichia coli	Escherichia coli 275 (45.8%) 41.9 – 49.8%		
Salmonella species	94 (15.7%)	13.0 - 18.8%	
Staphylococcus species	48 (8.0%)	6.1 - 10.5%	
Streptococcus species	38 (6.3%)	4.7 - 8.6%	
Enterococcus species	27 (4.5%)	3.1 - 6.5%	
Overall	482 (80.3%)	77.0 - 83.3%	

Key: N = Number of chickens sampled; CI = Confidence Interval.

Microbiota species isolated	Type of chicken	Number of chicken with the microbe	Prevalence (%)	P-value
Staphylococcus	Broilers	26	8.7%	0.5472
spp.	Layers	22	7.3%	0.5472
Total		48	8.0%	
Streptococcus	Broilers	23	7.7%	0.1799
spp.	Layers	15	5%	0.1799
Total		38	6.3%	
	Broilers	89	29.7%	4.0.0001
Escherichia coli	Layers	14	4.7%	< 0.0001
Total		103	17.2%	

Table 2. Comparative analysis of the prevalence of bacterial microbiota in oral swabs from broilers(300) and layers (300) chickens in Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria.

Comparison of the prevalence of bacterial microbiota obtained from the cloacal swabs from broilers and layers sampled is summarized in Table 3. *Escherichia coli* were isolated from 62 (20.7%) broilers and 110 (36.7%) layers chickens, and the difference between the prevalence in broilers and layers was statistically significant (p < 0.0001). *Salmonella* species were isolated from 27

(9.0%) broilers and 67 (22.3%) layers. The prevalence of *Salmonella* species was significantly (p < 0.0001) lower in broilers when compared to layers. *Enterococcus* species were isolated from 6 (2.0%) broilers and 21 (7.0%) layers chickens. The prevalence of *Enterococcus* species was also significantly (p = 0.0031) lower in broilers when compared to layers.

Table 3. Comparative analysis of the prevalence of bacterial microbiota in cloacal swabs frombroilers (300) and layers (300) chickens in Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria.

Microbiota species isolated	Type of chicken	Number of chicken with the microbe	Prevalence (%) 95% Cl	P -value	
Escherichia coli	Broilers	62	20.7%	< 0.0001	
	Layers	110	36.7%	< 0.0001	
	Total	172	28.6%		
Salmonella	Broilers	27	9.0%	< 0.0001	
species	Layers	67	22.3%	< 0.0001	
	Total	94	15.7%		
Enterococcus species	Broilers	6	2.0%	0.0021	
	Layers	21	7.0%	0.0031	
	Total	27	4.5%	-	

Antimicrobial Resistance Profile of Bacterial The Isolates: overall prevalence of antimicrobial susceptibility and resistance among the 48 Staphylococcus species isolates from broilers and layers chickens in Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria, is summarized in Table 4. The results reveal a higher prevalence of Staphylococcus species isolates exhibiting resistance greater than 50% to the following antibiotics: Ampicillin, Amoxicillin, and Penicillin G. Additionally, there was significant resistance to Streptomycin, Tylosin, Oxytetracycline, and Doxycycline. Furthermore, the isolates exhibited high resistance to Ceftazidime, Nitrofurantoin, and Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole. However, these isolates displayed varying levels of susceptibility to other tested antimicrobials, such as Amoxicillin-Clavulanic acid. Gentamicin, Neomycin, Ciprofloxacin, Enrofloxacin, Ofloxacin, Levofloxacin, Erythromycin, and Ceftriaxone. Among the isolates exhibiting resistance to the antimicrobial agents, 34 (70.8%) isolates showed multidrug resistance to some of the tested drugs, while 14 (29.2%) show multidrug susceptibility.

The prevalence of antimicrobial susceptibility and resistance among the 275 Escherichia coli isolates from the broilers and layer chickens sampled is presented in Table 4. A high prevalence of antimicrobial resistance (exceeding 50%) in Escherichia coli isolates to the following antibiotics was recorded: Ampicillin, Amoxicillin, Penicillin G, and Amoxicillin-Clavulanic acid. Additionally, there is elevated resistance to Erythromycin and Tylosin, and Oxytetracycline and Doxycycline. The isolates also exhibited high resistance to Ceftriaxone and Ceftazidime. Furthermore, the isolates demonstrated increased resistance to Nitrofurantoin, and Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole. However, Escherichia coli isolates displayed varying levels of susceptibility to drugs within the Aminoglycosides and Quinolones families. Notably, all *Escherichia coli* isolates exhibited multidrug resistance to the tested antimicrobial drugs.

Antimicrobial susceptibility and resistance prevalence among the 94 *Salmonella* species isolates from the broilers and layer chickens sampled is summarized in Table 4. There was a high prevalence of antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonella* species isolates (exceeding 50%) for all tested antimicrobial agents. However, *Salmonella* species isolates exhibited higher levels of susceptibility (greater than 50%) to drugs under the Quinolones family. Among the *Salmonella* species isolates resistant to the antimicrobial tests, 55 (58.5%) isolates demonstrated multidrug resistance to some of the tested antimicrobials, while 39 (41.5%) showed multidrug susceptibility

The prevalence of antimicrobial susceptibility and resistance among the 38 Streptococcus species isolates from the broilers and layers chickens sampled is presented in Table 4. There was a high prevalence of antimicrobial (reaching 100%) resistance among Streptococcus species isolates to antibiotics such as Ampicillin, Amoxicillin, and Penicillin G. Additionally, resistance to Gentamicin and Neomycin was recorded, as well as to all tested antimicrobials in the Macrolide, Tetracvclines. and Nitrofuran derivative families. However, Streptococcus species isolates demonstrated higher levels of susceptibility (greater than 50%) to drugs such as Amoxicillin-Clavulanic acid, Streptomycin, and all antimicrobial agents tested. Among the Streptococcus species isolates exhibiting resistance to the antimicrobial tests, 81.6% of the isolates showed multidrug resistance, while 18.4% showed multidrug susceptibility.

Antimicrobial susceptibility and resistance prevalence among the 27 *Enterococcus* species isolates from the broilers and layers chickens sampled is summarized in Table 4. There was a 100% prevalence of antimicrobial resistance among *Enterococcus* species isolates to

antibiotics such as Ampicillin, Amoxicillin, Penicillin G, and Amoxicillin-Clavulanic acid. Resistance to Gentamicin, Streptomycin, and Neomycin was recorded, as well as to Erythromycin and Tylosin. Resistance was also recorded to all drugs tested in the Tetracyclines, Nitrofuran derivative, and Diaminopyrimidine with sulfonamide families. *Enterococcus* species isolates exhibited higher levels of susceptibility (greater than 50%) to all drugs tested in the Quinolones and Cephalosporin families. All *Enterococcus* species isolates demonstrated 100% multidrug resistance.

Table 4. Antimicrobial resistance profile of Staphylococcus, Escherichia coli, Salmonella,Streptococcus, Enterococcus species isolates from chicken in Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria.

	Resistance profile (%)				
Antimicrobials	Staphylococcus	Escherichia coli	Salmonella	Streptococcus	Enterococcus
AMP 10 µg	40 (83.3%)	271 (98.5%)	54 (57.4%)	38 (100%)	27 (100%)
AMO 10 μg	36 (75.0%)	253 (92.0%)	57 (60.6%)	38 (100%)	27 (100%)
AMO/CLA 30 µg	14 (29.2%)	158 (57.5%)	69 (73.4%)	10 (26.3%)	27 (100%)
PEN 10 units	30 (62.5%)	275 (100%)	78 (83.0%)	38 (100%)	27 (100%)
GEN 10 µg	12 (25.0%)	135 (49.1%)	72 (76.6%)	38 (100%)	27 (100%)
STP 10 μg	44 (91.7%)	101 (36.7%)	79 (84.0%)	15 (39.5%)	27 (100%)
NEO 30 μg	8 (16.7%)	117 (42.5%)	71 (75.5%)	38 (100%)	27 (100%)
CIP 10 µg	2 (4.2%)	36 (13.1%)	8 (8.5%)	6 (15.8%)	22 (81.5%)
ENR 10 μg	11 (22.9%)	73 (26.5%)	11 (11.7%)	16 (42.1%)	18 (66.7%)
OFX 5 µg	1 (2.1%)	13 (4.7%)	4 (4.3%)	2 (5.3%)	24 (88.9%)
LVX 5 µg	23 (47.9%)	37 (13.5%)	8 (8.5%)	4 (10.5%)	21 (77.8%)
ERY 15 μg	6 (12.5%)	275 (100%)	81 (86.2%)	38 (100%)	27 (100%)
TLY 10 μg	38 (79.2%)	275 (100%)	94 (100%)	38 (100%)	27 (100%)
ΟΧΥ 10 μg	36 (75.0%)	231 (84.0%)	56 (59.6%)	38 (100%)	27 (100%)
DOX 30 μg	41 (85.4%)	201 (73.1%)	59 (62.8%)	38 (100%)	27 (100%)
СЕ F 30 µ g	6 (12.5%)	263 (95.6%)	94 (100%)	18 (47.5%)	18 (67.7%)
СЕТ 30 µg	47 (97.9%)	255 (92.7%)	94 (100%)	14 (36.8%)	22 (81.5%)
NIT 300 μg	27 (56.3%)	201 (73.1%)	81 (86.2%)	38 (100%)	27 (100%)
TRI/SUL 30 μg	42 (87.5%)	190 (69.1%)	69 (73.4%)	10 (26.3%)	27 (100%)
Multidrug resistance	34 (70.8%)	275 (100%)	55 (58.5%)	31 (81.6%)	27 (100%)

Key: Ampicillin (AMP 10 μg), Amoxicillin (AMO 10 μg), Amoxicillin-Clavulinate acid (AMO/CLA 30 μg) (Augmentin), Penicillin G (PEN 10 units), Gentamicin (GEN 10 μg), Streptomycin (STP 10 μg), Neomycin (NEO 30 μg), Ciprofloxacin (CIP 10 μg), Enrofloxacin (ENR 10 μg), Ofloxacin (OFX 5 μg), Levofloxacin (LVX 5 μg), Erythromycin (ERY 15 μg), Tylosin (TLY 10 μg), Oxytetracycline (OXY 10 μg), Doxycycline (DOX 30 μg), Cepftriaxone (CEF 30 μg), Ceftazidime (CET 30 μg), Nitrofurantoin (NIT 300 μg), Trimetoprim/Sulfamethoxazole (Cotrimoxazole) (TRI/SUL 30 μg).

Results of Questionnaire Survey: The responses of poultry farmers regarding various factors influencing antimicrobial misuse among commercial poultry farmers in Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria, are summarized in Table 5. Out of 150 respondents, 32 (21.3%) responded that they administer antimicrobials to their birds therapeutically to treat illnesses, while 118 (78.7%) stated that they use antimicrobials prophylactically to prevent their birds from becoming ill due to infections. Poultry farmers who administer antimicrobials prophylactically to prevent infection were significantly (p <0.001) than those that administer it therapeutically.

Twenty five out of 150 respondents (16.7%) indicated that the prescriptions and directions

regarding which brand of antimicrobials to administer and how to administer them to birds were given by registered veterinarians, while 125 (83.3%) stated that such prescriptions and directions are given by nonveterinarians, primarily fellow poultry farmers and drug sellers. Significantly higher (p < 0.001) number of poultry farmers get their prescriptions from non-veterinarians.

Regarding the knowledge of antimicrobial resistant pathogens among poultry farmers in the study area, out of 150 respondents, 63 (42.0%) stated that they are aware of antimicrobial resistant pathogens among birds, while 87 (58.0%) responded that they are unaware of such resistant pathogens.

Variables	Responses	Number of Respondents	Percentage of respondents	<i>p</i> -value	
Rationale behind antimicrobial administration	Therapeutic	32	21.3%	< 0.001	
	Prophylactic	118	78.7%		
Individuals responsible for prescribing antimicrobials	Veterinarians	25	16.7%	< 0.001	
	Non- Veterinarians	125	83.3%	< 0.001	
Level of awareness regarding antimicrobial resistance pathogens	Aware	63	42.0%	> 0.05	
	Unaware	87	58.0%		

Table 5. Responses of commercial poultry farmers in Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria with regards to antimicrobial use/misuse.

Discussion

The overall prevalence rate of bacterial microbiota in the sampled chickens was 80.3%, indicating a substantial presence of bacterial infections in the poultry population. The study identified five key bacterial species – *Escherichia coli, Salmonella* species, *Staphylococcus* species, *Streptococcus* species, and *Enterococcus* species, with varying prevalence rates of 45.8%, 15.7%, 8.0%, 6.3%,

and 4.5%, respectively. This finding highlights a significant microbial burden in commercial poultry population system in the study area, with potentials of risks to both poultry and public health. The findings of the present study concur with those reported by Pal *et al*. (2022), who conducted a similar investigation on broiler and layer birds in Nepal. However, Pal *et al*. (2022) did not report the isolation of *Staphylococcus* species and *Streptococcus* species, though our study found them present. Nonetheless, Pal et al. (2022) identified Enterococcus species, Salmonella species, and Escherichia coli in 36%, 39%, and 63% of swabs from broiler birds, respectively. In layer birds, thev detected Enterococcus species, Salmonella species, and Escherichia coli in 31%, 48%, and 60% of swabs, respectively. It is thought that the disparity between the two studies may lie in the source of the samples; the earlier cited study was on samples collected from the cloacal orifice, whereas the present study worked with swabs of both oral and cloacal orifices.

Escherichia coli emerged as the most prevalent bacterial species, particularly in broilers, emphasizing its significance in poultry microbiota; this finding is in line with reports by Mandal et al. (2022) and Pal et al., (2022). The relatively high recovery rates of Escherichia coli from both cloacal and oral swabs in layers and broiler chickens sampled from commercial poultry farms in this study can be attributed to various factors. Firstly, Escherichia coli is a normal component of the intestinal microbiota in poultry, making its presence in cloacal swabs and the oral cavity common (Ribeiro et al., 2023; Islam et al., 2023). Fecal contamination, facilitated by the cloaca being the common opening for the digestive, urinary, and reproductive tracts, might contribute to the higher recovery rates of Escherichia coli from these orifices (Lee et al., 2020; Moffo et al., 2021). Poultry environments, including feed, water, and contaminated with facilities, can be Escherichia coli, and the poor hygiene practices observed in some of the poultry farms may further exacerbate the issue. In conditions, addition, stress such as overcrowding as observed in some of the poultry farms and environmental changes such as high environmental temperature, might weaken the birds' immune systems, increasing Escherichia coli shedding, as reported by Abo Ghanima et al. (2020) and Hirakawa et al.

(2020). Also, it has been reported that underlying diseases affecting the gastrointestinal tract and the misuse of antibiotics in poultry farming can lead to higher recovery rates of *Escherichia coli* from broilers and layers chickens (Mandal *et al.*, 2022).

The higher prevalence of Escherichia coli in broilers compared to layers in this study may be attributed to prevailing management practices, as broilers are often intensively raised with higher stocking densities, creating an environment conducive to bacterial transmission. This finding concurs with earlier reports by Halder et al. (2021) and Tan et al. (2023), which indicated Escherichia coli strains as the most prevalent bacterial pathogen isolated from chickens. Gedeno et al. (2022) and Pereira et al. (2024) have also reported Escherichia coli followed by Salmonella species as the most prevalent bacterial organism in chickens, even though their studies involved the collection of samples from sick chickens and live bird markets, respectively, compared to the present study where samples were collected from apparently healthy chickens and commercial poultry farms.

The occurrence of Salmonella species as part of the microbiota in layers and broilers raised in commercial poultry farms observed in this study is a cause for concern, given that Salmonella is a recognized zoonotic pathogen with implications for food safety. It ranks among the most critical foodborne pathogens globally, contributing to severe public health challenges (Ehuwa et al., 2021; Galán-Relaño et al., 2023). The finding of Salmonella species in commercial chickens in this study agrees with earlier reports by Zeng et al. (2019), Pal et al. (2022) and Tan et al. (2023), all of who found this bacterial species in apparently healthy chickens. However, the Salmonella isolates recovered in this current study are non-typhoidal strains which constitute part of normal commensal flora of chickens; this is consistent with the findings of Khan et al.

(2018). The isolation of Salmonella species in cloacal swabs from both layer and broiler chickens in this study may be due to several Primarily, it is thought factors. that inappropriate biosecurity measures and unsanitary management practices in commercial poultry farms from which the samples were collected could facilitate the transmission of non-infectious and infectious pathogens. This aligns with the findings of Wiersema et al. (2021) and Gast et al. (2022), who observed that dense stocking conditions and birds being in close proximity could enhance the spread of Salmonella via fecaloral pathways. Another important factor contributing to the reasonable presence of Salmonella in poultry is the asymptomatic carriage of the bacteria by seemingly healthy birds. It has been reported that both laying hens and broiler chickens can harbor Salmonella in their digestive systems without displaying any outward signs of illness, as highlighted by Wang *et al.* (2023). Consequently, these carrier birds can excrete the bacteria in their feces, leading to contamination of the farm surroundings, including feed, water and bedding materials, posing a significant risk of infection to other birds within the flock (Ethèves et al., 2021).

The results of this present study showed a notable presence of Staphylococcus, Streptococcus, and Enterococcus species within poultry populations in the study area, highlighting the intricate nature of microbial communities in these birds. A comparative analysis between broilers and layers revealed differing prevalence rates, with broilers exhibiting higher rates of all isolated bacteria in their oral swabs when compared to layers. This suggests potential variations in susceptibility, management practices, or exposure routes to bacterial infections among these chicken types. While previous studies by Kwoji et al. (2019) and Abdulrahman et al. (2018) reported higher prevalence rates of Staphylococcus aureus in village chickens from

the present area, our findings showed lower rates in commercial poultry. Notably, our study is the first to report the isolation of Streptococcus species from commercial broilers and layers in this region, a bacterium with known zoonotic potential linked to chicken exposure (Patel et al., 2023). Additionally, the prevalence rates of Streptococcus (53.1%) and Enterococcus (42.8%) species reported by Abed et al. (2021) were higher than those found in the present study, possibly due to differences in diagnostic methods; their study utilized molecular tools, while ours relied on phenotypic identification.

The present study identified a trend of concern among commercial poultry farmers in the study area, as seen in certain regions of Nigeria and some parts of the world, where antimicrobial compounds are used indiscriminately (Imam et al., 2020; Chah et al., 2022; Ndahi et al., 2023). When examining the prevalence of microbiota isolates in chickens from our study area, our findings highlight the significant impact of antibiotic misuse on the emergence and spread of antibiotic-resistant pathogens in poultry. The varying levels of antimicrobial resistance observed in Staphylococcus, Escherichia coli, Salmonella, Streptococcus, and Enterococcus isolates from apparently healthy chickens in our study highlights the significant consequences of widespread and indiscriminate antibiotic usage in poultry farming. This situation poses serious threats to both poultry health and food safety in the study area, as it potentially reduces the effectiveness of available treatments for veterinary and human infections alike. Additionally, the presence of zoonotic pathogens among these resistant bacteria highlights the potential transmission risks to humans (Bamidele et al., 2022; Raut et al., 2023). Previous studies from some developing countries including Nigeria has identified various factors contributing to antibiotic misuse in poultry, including suboptimal

dosing, prolonged administration and the utilization of antibiotics for growth promotion rather than therapeutic reasons (Xu *et al.*, 2020; Chah *et al.*, 2022; Ndahi *et al.*, 2023). These practices exert selection pressure, favoring the proliferation of antibioticresistant bacteria within the poultry population (Abreu *et al.*, 2023).

Findings in the present study showed a significantly high prevalence of resistance, exceeding 50%, among Staphylococcus species isolates to commonly utilized antibiotics, notably Ampicillin, Amoxicillin, and Penicillin G, all falling under the critical Penicillin (Betalactams) category. This aligns with several similar studies conducted in Nigeria (Ezeh et al., 2023), indicating a substantial challenge in effectively treating Staphylococcus species infections in poultry using these extensively employed antibiotics. The resistance extends beyond specific antibiotic classes. encompassing notable resistance to Streptomycin, Tylosin, Oxytetracycline, and Doxycycline. This wide-ranging resistance pattern suggests a multifaceted issue necessitating meticulous consideration and comprehensive strategies for antibiotic stewardship.

Furthermore, this study highlights the high resistance of Staphylococcus species isolates to crucial antibiotics such as Ceftazidime, Nitrofurantoin, and Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole. Particularly worrisome is the resistance to Cephalosporins, significance last-resort given their as treatments against resistant pathogens (Mancuso et al., 2021; Uddin et al., 2021; Chukwu et al., 2022). The presence of multidrug resistance in 70.8% of Staphylococcus species isolates emphasizes the intricate nature of antibiotic resistance within the poultry population in the study area, potentially hindering the identification of effective treatment options and raising concerns about the potential spread of resistant strains within and beyond the poultry

Although sector. there is widespread resistance among Staphylococcus species isolates to certain antibiotics, the isolates in the present study demonstrated varying levels of susceptibility to other tested antimicrobials, Amoxicillin-Clavulanic including acid, Gentamicin, Neomycin, Ciprofloxacin, Ofloxacin, Levofloxacin, Enrofloxacin, Erythromycin, and Ceftriaxone. This variability highlights the necessity for a nuanced and tailored approach to antibiotic employment in poultry farming. The variability in susceptibility to different antibiotic classes emphasizes the importance of targeted antibiotic therapy based on susceptibility testing. These findings on Staphylococcus in the present study, while resembling those of Sonola et al. (2021) and Rao et al. (2022), who reported resistance of Staphylococcus species isolates from poultry to Erythromycin, slightly differ in that the present study observed some level of susceptibility to Erythromycin. Nevertheless, this study aligns with reports of Vitale et al. (2019) and Amoako et al. (2020), who also documented high resistance of Staphylococcus isolates from chickens to Tetracyclines, despite the earlier studies focusing specifically on methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. These differences may stem from variations in study design and the types of antimicrobials tested.

The results from this study regarding the antimicrobial resistance profile of Escheirichia coli isolates obtained from both broilers and layer chickens is a cause for concern; it reveals heightened resistance levels exceeding 50% to various antibiotics, particularly those within Penicillin class, Macrolides, the and Tetracyclines. The isolates exhibited notable resistance to commonly employed antimicrobial agents in both veterinary and human medicine, and this concurs with previous studies conducted by Seo and Lee (2021), Kiiti et al. (2021), Pal et al. (2022), and Xexaki et al. (2023). Of particular concern is the high resistance to antibiotics such as

Ampicillin, Amoxicillin, Penicillin G, and Amoxicillin-Clavulanic acid, all belonging to the Penicillin class, indicating a significant problem likely stemming from the misuse of these antibiotics in commercial poultry farming practices. This misuse may entail improper dosages, prolonged administration, or the unsupervised use of antibiotics. The resistance of E. coli against Macrolides (Erythromycin and Tylosin) and Tetracyclines (Oxytetracycline and Doxycycline) further emphasizes the pressing need for improved antibiotic stewardship within the poultry industry of the study area. The high resistance rates of Escherichia coli isolates to these antimicrobial classes align closely with the reports of Rahman et al. (2020), further emphasizing the severity of the issue. Interestingly, Hess et al. (2022) reported a similar pattern of high antimicrobial resistance among Escherichia coli isolates from organic laying hens despite the absence of antimicrobial treatments, suggesting а potential for *Escherichia coli* to develop antimicrobial resistance even in the absence of direct antibiotic exposure. This highlights rapid acquisition of antimicrobial the resistance by Escherichia coli, a phenomenon well-documented in healthy animals (Rivera-Gomis et al., 2021). Despite less frequent isolation of antibiotic-resistant commensal Escherichia coli from poultry raised using nonconventional management systems, the prevalence remains a concern (Pesciaroli et al., 2020). The observed resistance to critical Cephalosporins like Ceftriaxone and Ceftazidime implies a possible transfer of resistance mechanisms from poultry to humans, given the importance of these antibiotics in human medicine. This resistance aligns with reports of Seo et al. (2020) and Kiiti et al. (2021), emphasizing the contribution of antibiotic usage in poultry to the proliferation of resistant Escherichia coli strains.

The high resistance levels of *Escherichia coli* to most antibiotics in this study may be attributed to the accessibility and affordability

of these antimicrobial agents in veterinary pharmacies and animal health shops within the study area, as suggested by previous studies from Nigeria, Eastern Turkey, Italy, Bangladesh, and Tanzania (Aworh et al., 2020; Baran et al., 2020; Musa et al., 2020; Parvin et al., 2020, and Kiiti et al., 2021). This interplay between antibiotic usage in animals and its repercussions on human health underscores the necessity for a comprehensive One Health approach to address antibiotic resistance effectively. Moreover, the increasing resistance to Nitrofurantoin and Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole complicates the antibiotic landscape further, as these drugs are commonly used in both veterinary and human medicine (Bielec et al., 2023). The varying levels of susceptibility within the Aminoglycosides and Quinolones families suggest that not all antibiotics are equally affected, which agrees with the findings of Hess et al. (2022). Understanding the varying susceptibility within antibiotic families may offer insights into potential alternative antibiotic choices for the poultry industry in the study area. However, the overall observation of multidrug resistance among all Escherichia coli isolates is alarming, severely limiting treatment options and posing significant challenges in controlling bacterial infections in both poultry and potentially humans.

The of multidrug-resistant prevalence Escherichia coli isolates reported in this study, standing at 100.0%, surpasses rates observed in similar studies conducted elsewhere, indicating a critical issue within the region's poultry production system. This prevalence recorded in the present study is notably higher than 86.76% reported in Tanzania (Kiiti et al., 2021), 69.3% and 51.6% in Dar es Salaam by Mgaya et al. (2021) and Kimera et al. (2021) respectively, 63.4% in Qatar (Eltai et al., 2020), and 68% in Senegal (Vounba et al., 2019), further emphasizing the gravity of the situation. Despite the high susceptibility levels

observed for Aminoglycosides and Quinolones, which align with the study of Kiiti *et al.* (2021), differences exist with findings from Eastern Turkey (Baran *et al.*, 2020), Nigeria (Aworh *et al.*, 2020), and Korea (Seo and Lee, 2021), indicating variations in antibiotic susceptibility across different regions and management systems.

The present study also highlights a troubling trend of heightened antimicrobial resistance among Salmonella strains isolated from both broilers and laying chickens, which agrees with the findings of Nguyen et al. (2021) and Forgaciu et al. (2022). The striking 58.5% rate of multidrug resistance observed in Salmonella isolates poses a grave threat to poultry health and food safety, with potential implications for human infections. These isolates exhibited resistance to various antimicrobial classes, including Penicillin (Beta-lactams), Aminoglycosides, Macrolides, Tetracyclines, Cephalosporin, Nitrofuran derivative, and Diaminopyrimidine with sulfonamide, indicating an urgent need for intervention strategies to address antibiotic misuse in poultry farming.

Notably, Salmonella isolates in this study showed relatively higher susceptibility levels (greater than 50%) to drugs within the Quinolones family. Understanding the factors contributing to this susceptibility could offer insights into potential alternative treatments and assist in designing effective antibiotic stewardship programs. The finding that 58.5% of Salmonella isolates in this study exhibited multidrug resistance underscores the challenge in treating infections caused by these strains. These findings align with studies conducted in various countries such as the United States (Velasquez et al., 2018), Iran (Bahramianfard et al., 2021), and Europe (Cortés et al., 2022). They also coincide with previous study conducted by Nguyen et al. (2021), which reported critically high resistance among Salmonella isolates from

poultry farms to tetracycline, ampicillin, and sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim.

Previous studies have also documented high resistance among Salmonella isolates from poultry to colistin, ciprofloxacin, doxycycline, kanamycin, streptomycin, sulfamethoxazole, and tetracycline (Nelson et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020; Karabasanavar et al., 2020), consistent with the present findings. Common resistance patterns observed include amoxicillin, ampicillin, resistance to ciprofloxacin, erythromycin, gentamycin, penicillin, sulfamethaxole, and tetracycline, as reported by Nguyen et al. (2021).

Similarly, Cortés *et al.* (2022) reported high resistance rates among *Salmonella* isolates from broilers to sulfamethoxazole, gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, nalidixic acid, and tetracycline, with layers showing elevated resistance to sulfamethoxazole and tetracycline. However, our study revealed a 41.5% rate of susceptibility, indicating the presence of strains not yet resistant to a broad spectrum of antibiotics, consistent with the findings of Pławińska-Czarnak *et al.* (2022) and Syed *et al.* (2023).

The widespread prevalence of antibiotic resistance poses a significant economic threat to the commercial poultry sector, with treatment failures and increased mortality rates posing financial risks for poultry farmers (Assoumy et al., 2021). Antibiotic-resistant Salmonella strains in poultry directly impact food safety, as contaminated poultry products may harbor drug-resistant pathogens, potentially causing foodborne illnesses in consumers (Rafiq et al., 2022; Abreu et al., 2023). The transmission of multidrug-resistant Salmonella from poultry to humans represents public significant health concern, а complicating treatment for human infections and leading to higher morbidity and mortality rates (Ahmad et al., 2021; Abreu et al., 2023).

The present study also unveiled a notable prevalence of antibiotic resistance among

Streptococcus species isolates obtained from both broilers and layers. Notably, there is significant resistance observed against crucial antibiotics commonly utilized in poultry farming. The fact that antibiotics like Ampicillin, Amoxicillin, and Penicillin G exhibit a 100% resistance prevalence is deeply concerning, especially given their widespread usage in poultry farming for both disease prevention and treatment purposes. This discovery corroborates with Hermana et al. (2021), who similarly noted resistance to penicillin in all Streptococcus isolates from chickens. The emergence of resistance to these antibiotics hints at a potential decline in their effectiveness for managing bacterial infections in poultry, posing risks to bird health and welfare. The findings of this study are consistent with Abed et al. (2021), who identified significantly higher multidrug resistance in Streptococcus species isolates, linking it to resistance-associated genes.

Observations from the present study indicate resistance to antibiotics across various drug classes, encompassing Aminoglycosides, Macrolides, Tetracyclines, and Nitrofuran derivatives, showcasing a complex resistance pattern. This complexity may present challenges in selecting appropriate antibiotics for treating bacterial infections in poultry, potentially resulting in prolonged illness, heightened mortality rates, and economic losses. The substantial percentage (81.6%) of Streptococcus species isolates demonstrating multidrug resistance is particularly alarming, complicating the search for effective treatment options. The persistence of multidrug-resistant strains in poultry poses a grave threat to public health, as these strains could transfer resistance genes to human pathogens.

Despite antibiotics in the Quinolones class displaying higher susceptibility levels, the limited number of effective antibiotics raises concerns regarding the sustainability of antibiotic use in poultry production. This underscores the urgent need for alternative strategies such as enhanced biosecurity measures, vaccination programs, and responsible antibiotic use practices to prevent and manage bacterial infections in poultry.

The present study also showed a complete prevalence of antimicrobial resistance within Enterococcus species isolates, indicating the inefficacy of specific antibiotics in addressing *Enterococcus* infections in poultry within the Maiduguri region. This discovery is in line with findings by Mudenda et al. (2023), who similarly reported resistance to a range of antibiotics among Enterococcus species isolates. The notable resistance of Enterococcus to antibiotics documented in present study may be linked to the excessive and inappropriate utilization of medications in poultry farming activities which buttress similar findings by Semedo-Lemsaddek et al., 2021 and Ribeiro et al. (2023). The resistance exhibited by Enterococcus species is especially alarming as these bacteria can act as reservoirs for antibiotic resistance genes, potentially endangering public health via the food chain (Roy et al., 2022).

The high prevalence of resistance indicates a plausible association with antibiotic misuse within the poultry production system, stressing the urgency for improved antibiotic stewardship practices to mitigate resistance risks. Antibiotic resistance can severely impact poultry production, resulting in heightened rates of illness and death among poultry stocks and financial losses for farmers. Consistent monitoring of antibiotic resistance in poultry and stringent regulations governing antibiotic usage in animal husbandry are imperative to ensure the sustainability of the poultry industry in Maiduguri.

The findings of this study unveil the intricate dynamics surrounding antimicrobial use in poultry farming in Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria. The majority of commercial poultry farmers utilize antimicrobials for prophylactic

purposes, while others use them to treat infections in their flocks. The notable contrast between the small proportion of poultry administering antimicrobials farmers therapeutically and the very high proportion using them prophylactically emphasizes a prevailing trend of preventive rather than curative antimicrobial use in commercial poultry farming. Prophylactic administration of antimicrobials is commonly done as a compensation for substandard farm management conditions, to prevent frequently occurring poultry diseases (Imam et al., 2020; Ndahi et al., 2023), or due to the absence of vaccinations against poultry diseases. This trend could be attributed to various factors, including but not limited to, the perceived economic benefits of disease prevention, the costs associated with veterinary treatments (Dadgostar, 2019; Smith et al., 2019), which might prompt farmers to administer drugs prophylactically to avoid severe clinical events that necessitate costly veterinary interventions. Farmers may also face challenges in accessing veterinary services for disease diagnosis and lack awareness about appropriate antimicrobial use practices.

Laboratory confirmation of livestock diseases, including poultry, in Borno State, Northeastern Nigeria, is predominantly conducted at the University of Maiduguri's Veterinary Teaching Hospitals and Research and Teaching laboratories of the Departments of Veterinary Microbiology, Veterinary Entomology and Parasitology, Veterinary Medicine, and Veterinary Pathology (Lawal et al., 2023). These facilities represent only a fraction of the laboratories needed, when compared to the number of poultry farms in the State. Consequently, farmers might be unable to utilize or access these institutional laboratories for disease diagnosis, potentially leading to widespread prophylactic administration of antimicrobials based on farmers' perceptions of disease risk or their own experiences.

The substantial reliance on non-veterinarians, particularly veterinary drugs vendors, retailers, hawkers and fellow poultry farmers, for antimicrobial prescriptions and guidance in the present study area is worthy of concern. This reliance may stem from various factors, including limited access to veterinary services, financial constraints and traditional practices within the local poultry farming community. The absence of veterinary oversight in antimicrobial usage decisions could contribute to inappropriate drug selection, dosing, and duration, thereby exacerbating the emergence and spread of antimicrobial resistance (AMR), as reported by Gray et al. (2021). Previous studies have demonstrated that antimicrobial usage on commercial poultry farms is significantly influenced by advice from antimicrobial suppliers, particularly feed and chick traders who collaborate closely with representatives of drug companies to achieve target sales (Imam et al., 2020; Masud et al., 2020). A large proportion of commercial chicken farmers in the present study area were observed to receive antimicrobials from feed and chick traders at the point of collection of day-old-chicks. This observation aligns with Masud et al. (2020), who reported that the use of antimicrobials may be influenced by contractual agreements with feed and chick traders, who supply all production inputs (e.g., day-old chicks and feed) on credit to farmers and subsequently purchase the poultry products from farmers at pre-arranged prices. This practice is more prevalent among broiler farmers than layer farmers, thus likely explaining the observed differences between the two production types in this study.

The misuse of antimicrobials observed in this study, characterized by prophylactic rather than therapeutic use and reliance on nonveterinarians for prescribing decisions, is likely to contribute to the emergence and dissemination of antimicrobial-resistant pathogens in poultry populations. Such practices foster the selection pressure for resistant strains, compromising the effectiveness of antimicrobial treatments and potentially transmitting resistant bacteria to humans through the food chain (Caneschi *et al.*, 2023).

The findings of this study indicating a significant proportion of poultry farmers being unaware of antimicrobial resistance pathogens among birds underscore a critical gap in knowledge and awareness. This lack of awareness may be attributed to inadequate education and training on AMR-related issues. limited dissemination of information on AMR within the poultry farming community, and cultural factors influencing perceptions of disease and medication, in agreement with Hedman et al. (2020) and Mudenda et al. (2022). Addressing the challenges associated with antimicrobial misuse in poultry farming requires a multifaceted approach. There is an urgent need for targeted educational programs aimed at raising awareness about AMR, promoting responsible antimicrobial use practices, and enhancing the capacity of poultry farmers to make informed decisions regarding antimicrobial use.

Conclusion: This study highlighted a significant burden of Enterobacteria, which includes Escherichia coli, Salmonella, Staphylococcus, Streptococcus, and Enterococcus species, and antimicrobial resistance (AMR) in broilers and layers in Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria, with notable multidrug resistance across these species. Escherichia coli emerged as the most prevalent bacterial species in both broilers and layers followed closely by Salmonella species, with substantial resistance to multiple antibiotics, including Ampicillin, Amoxicillin, and Penicillin G. A comparative analysis of bacterial microbiota prevalence between broilers and layer chickens revealed variations in susceptibility patterns, with broilers showing higher prevalence rates of certain

bacterial species, notably Escherichia coli, compared to layers. However, the prevalence rates of Staphylococcus species and Streptococcus species did not significantly differ between broilers and layers. Additionally, the misuse of antimicrobials by poultry farmers, driven by non-veterinary advice, underscores the urgent need for improved veterinary oversight and farmer education on AMR.

The limitations of this study include the regional focus on Maiduguri, which may not be representative of other areas, and the reliance on cross-sectional data, which limits the ability to establish causal relationships. Future research should aim to include longitudinal data and a broader geographic scope to provide a more comprehensive understanding of AMR dynamics in poultry.

Recommendations: The establishment and enforcement of regulations ensuring that antimicrobial prescriptions and administration instructions come exclusively from registered veterinarians is recommended. This step is crucial to curb the misuse of antimicrobials. Extensive educational programs for poultry farmers focusing on the risks of AMR, proper antimicrobial usage, and the importance of seeking veterinary advice is advocated. The development and maintenance of a robust AMR surveillance system to monitor the prevalence and trends of AMR in poultry is further recoomended to enable timely and interventions policy adjustments. Promotion and support of the the use of alternative health management practices, such as improved biosecurity measures, vaccination programs, and probiotics, to reduce reliance on antimicrobials is also advocated. Also, the development and implementation of policies and legislation that support responsible antimicrobial use in poultry farming, including restrictions on over-the-counter sales of antimicrobials is further advocated.

Acknowledgments

The authors extend their gratitude to the technical staff of the Department of Veterinary Medicine, Research, and Teaching Laboratory and those of Department of Veterinary Microbiology Research Laboratory at the University of Maiduguri for their provision of facilities and technical assistance during the course of this study sample analysis.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- Abdulrahman HI, Geidam YA, Abubakar MB, Gashua MM, Gulani IA and Galadima HB (2018). Phenotypic detection and antibiogram of *Staphylococcus aureus* from poultry processing units in Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria. *Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences*, 1: 1 – 8. DOI: 10.9734/AJRAVS/2018/39864
- Abed AH, Asmaa SA, Sedik ASA and Shany SAS (2021). Molecular characterization of *Streptococcus* and *Enterococcus* species isolated from broiler chickens. *Assiut Veterinary Medical Journal*, 67(171): 21 – 32.
- Abo Ghanima MM, Abd El-Hack ME, Othman SI, Taha AE, Allam AA, and Eid Abdel-Moneim AM (2020). Impact of different rearing systems on growth, carcass traits, oxidative stress biomarkers, and humoral immunity of broilers exposed to heat stress. *Poultry Science*, 99: 3070 – 3078.
- Abreu R, Semedo-Lemsaddek T, Cunha E, Tavares L and Oliveira M (2023). Antimicrobial Drug Resistance in Poultry Production: Current Status and Innovative Strategies for Bacterial

Control. *Microorganisms*, 11(4): 953. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms</u> <u>11040953</u>

- Achi CR, Ayobami O, Mark G, Egwuenu A, Ogbolu D and Kabir J (2021). Operationalising One Health in Nigeria: Reflections from a high-level expert panel discussion commemorating the 2020 World Antibiotics Awareness Week. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 9:673504.
- Ahmad SI, Malak HA and Abulreesh HH (2021). Environmental antimicrobial resistance and its drivers: a potential threat to public health. *Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance*, (27): 101 – 111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagar.2021.08.0

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2021.08.0 01.

- Ahmed NA and Gulhan Т (2024). Determination of antibiotic resistance patterns and genotypes of Escherichia *coli* isolated from wild birds. Microbiome, 12(1): 8. doi: 10.1186/s40168-023-01729-1.
- Amoako DG, Somboro AM, Abia AL, Molechan C, Perrett K, Bester LA and Essack SY (2020) Antibiotic resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* from poultry and poultry products in uMgungundlovu District, South Africa, using the "Farm to Fork" approach. *Microbial Drug Resistance*, 26: 402 – 411.
- Andrew SL, Mohammed HZ, Manyelo TG and Mabelebele M (2020). The Current Status of the Alternative Use to Antibiotics in Poultry Production: An African Perspective. *Antibiotics*, 9(9): 594.

https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics9090 594

Assoumy MA, Bedekelabou AP, Teko-Agbo A, Ossebi W, Akoda K, Nimbona F, Zeba SH, Zobo AA, Tiecoura RCT, Kallo V, Dagnogo K and Bada-Alambédji R (2021). Antibiotic resistance of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* spp. strains isolated from

Lawal et al., 2024; Journal of Veterinary and Applied Sciences, 14(2): 744 – 769.

.....

healthy poultry farms in the districts of Abidjan and Agnibilékrou (Côte d'Ivoire). *Veterinary World*, 14(4): 1020 – 1027.

- Aworh MK, Kwaga J, Okolocha E, Harden L, Hull D, Hendriksen RS and Thakur S (2020). Extended-spectrum ß-lactamaseproducing *Escherichia coli* among humans, chickens and poultry environments in Abuja, Nigeria. *One Health Outlook*, 2(8): 2020.
- Bahramianfard H, Derakhshandeh A, Naziri Z, and Farahani RK (2021). Prevalence, virulence factor and antimicrobial resistance analysis of *Salmonella enteritidis* from poultry and egg samples in Iran. *BMC Veterinary Research*, 17: 196.
- Bamidele O, Yakubu A, Joseph EB and Amole TA (2022). Antibiotic Resistance of Bacterial Isolates from Smallholder Poultry Droppings in the Guinea Savanna Zone of Nigeria. *Antibiotics (Basel)*, 11(7): 973.
- Baran A, Adiguzel M, and Yüksel M (2020). Prevalence of antibiotic-resistant and extended-spectrum beta-lactamaseproducing *Escherichia coli* in chicken meat from eastern Turkey. *Pakistan Veterinary Journal*, 40: 2074 – 7764.
- Bielec F, Brauncajs M and Pastuszak-Lewandoska D (2023). Nitrofuran derivatives cross-resistance evidenceuropathogenic *Escherichia coli* nitrofurantoin and furazidin in vitro susceptibility testing. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 12(16): 5166.
- Caneschi A, Bardhi A, Barbarossa A and Zaghini A (2023). The use of antibiotics and antimicrobial resistance in veterinary medicine, a complex phenomenon: A narrative review. *Antibiotics*, 12(3): 487. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics1203</u> 0487
- Chah JM, Nwankwo SC, Uddin IO and Chah KF (2022). Knowledge and practices regarding antibiotic use among smallscale poultry farmers in Enugu State,

Nigeria. *Heliyon*, 8(4): 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e09342.

- Cheesbrough M (1985). Medical Laboratory Manual for Tropical Countries, 1st ed. London: English Language Book Society, Volume, 2: Microbiology, pp. 400 – 480.
- Chukwu EE, Awoderu OB, Enwuru CA, Afocha EE, Lawal RG, Ahmed RA, Olanrewaju I, Onwuamah CK, Audu RA and Ogunsola FT (2022). High prevalence of resistance to third-generation cephalosporins detected among clinical isolates from sentinel healthcare facilities in Lagos, Nigeria. Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control, 11(1): 134.
- CLSI (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute) (2019). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing CLSI Supplement No. 2019. M100. 29th ed. CLSI. Wayne, Pennsylvania, USA
- CLSI (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute) (2022). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, M100 (32nd ed.), Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA (2022)
- Cortés V, Sevilla-Navarro S, García C, Marín C and Catalá-Gregori P (2022). Monitoring antimicrobial resistance trends in *Salmonella* spp. from poultry in Eastern Spain. *Poultry Science*, (101):6, 101832. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2022.1018</u> <u>32</u>.
- Dadgostar P (2019). Antimicrobial resistance: Implications and costs. *Infection and Drug Resistance*, 12: 3903 – 3910.
- Ehuwa O, Jaiswal AK, and Jaiswal S (2021). Salmonella, Food Safety and Food Handling Practices. Foods, 10(5): 907.
- Eltai NO, Yassine HM, El-obeid T, Al-hadidi SH, Al thani AA, and Alali WQ (2020). Prevalence of antibiotic-resistant *Escherichia coli* isolates from local and imported retail chicken carcasses. *Journal of Food Protection*, 83(12): 2200 – 2208.
- Ema FA, Shanta RN, Rahman MZ, Islam MA and Khatun MM (2022). Isolation,

Lawal et al., 2024; Journal of Veterinary and Applied Sciences, 14(2): 744 – 769.

.....

identification, and antibiogram studies of *Escherichia coli* from ready-to-eat foods in Mymensingh, Bangladesh. *Veterinary World*, 15(6): 1497 – 1505. doi: 10.14202/vetworld.2022.1497-1505.

- Ethèves MA, Choisis N, Alvarez S, Dalleau F, Hascoat J, Gallard V and Cardinale E (2021). Risk factors for *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* persistence in broiler-chicken flocks on Reunion Island. *Heliyon*, 7(3): e06278.
- Ezeh CK, Eze CN, Dibua MEU and Emencheta SC (2023). A meta-analysis on the prevalence of resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* to different antibiotics in Nigeria. *Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control*, 12(1): 40.
- Forgaciu A, Tabaran A, Colobatiu L, Mihaiu R, Dan SD and Mihaiu M (2022). Concerning increase in antimicrobial resistance patterns of pathogenic strains of *Salmonella* isolated in poultry meat products. *Antibiotics (Basel)*, 11(11): 1469.
- Galadima HB, Geidam YA, Shamaki BU, Abdulrahman HI, Ibrahim B and Waziri A (2018). Survey of antimicrobial residue in table eggs among layer poultry farmers in Maiduguri metropolis, Borno State. *Asian Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 13: 101 – 108. doi: 10.3923/ajava.2018.101.108
- Galán-Relaño Á, Valero Díaz A, Huerta Lorenzo
 B, Gómez-Gascón L, Mena Rodríguez
 MÁ, Carrasco Jiménez E, Pérez Rodríguez
 F and Astorga Márquez RJ (2023).
 Salmonella and Salmonellosis: An update on public health implications and control strategies. Animals (Basel), 13(23): 3666.
- Gast RK, Jones DR, Guraya R, Garcia JS and Karcher DM (2022). Internal organ colonization by *Salmonella enteritidis* in experimentally infected layer pullets reared at different stocking densities in indoor cage-free housing. *Poultry Science*, 101(11): 102104.

- Gedeno K, Hailegebreal G, Tanga, BM, Sulayeman M and Sori T (2022). Epidemiological investigations of *Salmonella* and *Escherichia coli* associated morbidity and mortality in layer chickens in Hawassa city, Southern Ethiopia. *Heliyon*, 8(12): e12302.
- Gray P, Jenner R, Norris J, Page S and Browning G (2021). Australian Veterinary Association Ltd and Animal Medicines Australia. Antimicrobial prescribing guidelines for poultry. *Australian Veterinary Journal*, 99(6): 181–235.
- Habiba U, Khan A, Mmbaga EJ, Green IR and Asaduzzaman M (2023). Use of antibiotics in poultry and poultry farmers- a cross-sectional survey in Pakistan. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 11: 1154668.
- Halder S, Das S, Nath SK, Kundu SK, Islam MS, Chowdhury S and Masuduzzaman M (2021). Prevalence of some common bacterial diseases in commercial poultry farm. Ukrainian Journal of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences, 4(2): 44 – 51.
- Hedman HD, Vasco KA and Zhang L (2020). A review of antimicrobial resistance in poultry farming within low-resource settings. *Animals*, 10(8): 1264. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10081264</u>
- Hermana NSP, Nilasari Z, Yuniarti E, Riyanto A, Indrawati AS and Pasaribu FH (2020). Antibiotic resistance profile of *Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus* spp. and *Klebsiella* spp. isolated form chicken farm in Bogor, Sukabumi, and Cianjur, West Java. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series,* 1430: 012021.
- Hess C, Troxler S, Jandreski-Cvetkovic D, Zloch A and Hess M (2020). *Escherichia coli* isolated from organic laying hens reveal a high level of antimicrobial resistance despite no antimicrobial treatments. *Antibiotics (Basel)*, 11(4): 467.
- Hirakawa R, Nurjanah S, Furukawa K, Murai A, Kikusato M, Nochi T, Toyomizu M (2020). Heat stress causes immune

Lawal et al., 2024; Journal of Veterinary and Applied Sciences, 14(2): 744 – 769.

.....

abnormalities via massive damage to effect proliferation and differentiation of lymphocytes in broiler chickens. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 7: 46.

- Imam T, Gibson JS, Foysal M, Das SB, Gupta SD, Fournié G, Hoque MA and Henning JA (2020). Cross-sectional study of antimicrobial usage on commercial broiler and layer chicken farms in Bangladesh. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 7: 576113.
- Islam MS, Hossain MJ, Sobur MA, Punom SA, Rahman AMMT and Rahman MT (2023). A systematic review on the occurrence of antimicrobial-resistant *Escherichia coli* in poultry and poultry environments in Bangladesh between 2010 and 2021. *Biomed Research International*, 2425564. doi: 10.1155/2023/2425564.
- Karabasanavar NS, Madhavaprasad CB, Gopalakrishna SA, Hiremath J, Patil GS and Barbuddhe SB (2020). Prevalence of *Salmonella* serotypes *S. enteritidis* and *S. typhimurium* in poultry and poultry products. *Journal of Food Safety*, 40(6): e12852.
- Khan AS, Georges K, Rahaman S, Abdela W and Adesiyun AA (2018). Antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* isolates recovered from chickens sold at retail outlets in Trinidad. *Journal of Food Protection*, 81(11): 1880 – 1889.
- Kiiti RW, Komba EV, Msoffe PL, Mshana SE, Rweyemamu M and Matee MIN (2021).
 Antimicrobial resistance profiles of *Escherichia coli* isolated from broiler and layer chickens in Arusha and Mwanza, Tanzania. *International Journal of Microbiology*, 6759046. doi: 10.1155/2021/6759046.
- Kimera ZI, Mgaya FX, Misinzo G, Mshana SE, Moremi N, Matee MIN (2021). Multidrug-resistant, including extendedspectrum beta lactamase-producing and quinolone-resistant, *Escherichia coli* isolated from poultry and domestic pigs

in dar es salaam, Tanzania. *Antibiotics*, 10(4): 406.

- Kwoji ID, Jauro S, Musa JA, Lekko YM, Salihu SI and Danchuwa HA (2019). Phenotypic detection of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus* aureus in village chickens from poultry markets in Maiduguri, Nigeria. *Journal of Advance Veterinary* and Animal Research, 6(2): 163 – 167.
- Larsson DGJ and Flach CF (2022). Antibiotic resistance in the environment. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 20: 257 – 269. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-021-</u> <u>00649-x</u>
- Lawal JR, Jajere SM, Ibrahim UI, Biu AA and Jonathan D (2023). Epidemiology of gastrointestinal helminths among chickens (*Gallus domesticus*) from Borno State, Northeastern Nigeria: prevalence, helminth burden and associated risk factors. *The Revue d' Elevage et de Médecine Vétérinaire des* pays *Tropicaux*, 76: 37127.
- Lee SJ, Cho S, La TM, Lee HJ, Lee JB, Park SY, Song CS, Choi IS and Lee SW (2020). Comparison of microbiota in the cloaca, colon, and magnum of layer chicken. *PLoS One*, 15(8): e0237108.
- Ma F, Xu S, Tang Z, Li Z and Zhang L (2021). Use of antimicrobials in food animals and impact of transmission of antimicrobial resistance on humans. *Biosafety and Health*, 3(1): 32 – 38. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bsheal.2020.0</u> <u>9.004</u>.
- Makinta AA, Umar ASS and Mamadi AA (2019). Determinants of profitability of broiler production in Maiduguri Metropolis, Borno State, Nigeria. Journal of Agriculture and Environment, 15(1): 33 – 40.
- Mancuso G, Midiri A, Gerace E and Biondo C (2021). Bacterial antibiotic resistance: The most critical pathogens. *Pathogens*, 10(10): 1310.
- Mandal AK, Talukder S, Hasan MM, Tasmim ST, Parvin MS, Ali MY and Islam MT

(2022). Epidemiology and antimicrobial resistance of *Escherichia coli* in broiler chickens, farmworkers, and farm sewage in Bangladesh. *Veterinary Medical Sciences*, 8(1): 187 – 199.

- Masud AA, Rousham EK, Islam MA, Alam M-U, Rahman M and Mamun AA (2020). Drivers of antibiotic use in poultry production in Bangladesh: dependencies and dynamics of a patron-client relationship. *Frontiers Veterinary Science*, 7: 78.
- Mgaya FX, Matee MI, Muhairwa AP and Hoza AS (2021). Occurrence of multidrug resistant *Escherichia coli* in raw meat and cloaca swabs in poultry processed in slaughter slabs in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. *Antibiotics*, 10(4). doi: 10.3390/antibiotics10040343.
- Mo Y, Oonsivilai M, Lim C, Niehus R and Cooper BS (2023). Implications of reducing antibiotic treatment duration for antimicrobial resistance in hospital settings: A modelling study and metaanalysis. *PLoS Medicine*, 20(6): e1004013.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1 004013

- Moffo F, Mouiche MMM, Djomgang HK, Tombe P, Wade A, Kochivi FL, Dongmo JB, Mbah CK, Mapiefou NP, Ngogang MP and Awah-Ndukum J (2021). Poultry litter contamination by Escherichia coli resistant to critically important antimicrobials for human and animal use and risk for public health in Cameroon. Antibiotics. 2021; 10(4): 402. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics1004 0402
- Mudenda S, Malama S, Munyeme M, Hang'ombe BM, Mainda G, Kapona O, Mukosha M, Yamba K, Bumbangi FN, Mfune RL, Daka V, Mwenya D, Mpundu P, Siluchali G and Muma JB (2022). Awareness of antimicrobial resistance and associated factors among layer poultry farmers in Zambia: Implications

for surveillance and antimicrobial stewardship programs. *Antibiotics* (*Basel*), 11(3): 383.

- Mudenda S, Matafwali SK, Malama S, Munyeme M, Yamba K, Katemangwe P, Siluchali G, Mainda G, Mukuma M, Bumbangi FN, Mirisho R, Muma JB. (2023). Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance patterns of *Enterococcus* species isolated from laying hens in Lusaka and Copperbelt provinces of Zambia: a call for AMR surveillance in the poultry sector. *JAC Antimicrobial Resistance*, 4(6): dlac126.
- Murugaiyan J, Kumar PA, Rao GS, Iskandar K, Hawser S, Hays JP, Mohsen Y, Adukkadukkam S, Awuah WA, Jose RAM, Sylvia N, Nansubuga EP, Tilocca B, Roncada P, Roson-Calero N, Moreno-Morales J, Amin R, Kumar BK, Toufik A, Zaw TN, Akinwotu OO, Satyaseela MP and van Dongen MBM (2022). Progress in Alternative Strategies to Combat Antimicrobial Resistance: Focus on Antibiotics. *Antibiotics*, 11(2): 200. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics1102</u> 0200
- Musa L, Casagrande Proietti P, Branciari R, Menchetti L, Bellucci S, Ranucci D, Marenzoni ML and Franciosini MP (2020). Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Escherichia coli* and ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli* diffusion in conventional, organic and antibiotic-free meat chickens at slaughter. *Animals*, 10(7): 1215.
- Ndahi MD, Hendriksen R, Helwigh B, Card RM, Fagbamila IO, Abiodun-Adewusi OO, Ekeng E, Adetunji V, Adebiyi I and Andersen JK (2023). Determination of antimicrobial use in commercial poultry farms in Plateau and Oyo States, Nigeria. *Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control* 12 (1): 30. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-023-</u> <u>01235-x</u>

- Nelson A, Manandhar S, Ruzante J, Gywali A, Dhakal B, Dulal S, Chaulagai R, and Dixit S.M (2020). Antimicrobial drug-resistant non-typhoidal *Salmonella enterica* in commercial poultry value chain in Chitwan, Nepal. *One Health Outlook*, 2(18): 4.
- Newcombe RG (1998). Two-sided confidence intervals for the single proportion: Comparison of seven methods. *Statistics in Medicine*, 17: 857 – 872.
- Nguyen TK, Nguyen LT, Chau TTH, Nguyen TT, Tran BN, Taniguchi T, Hayashidani H and Ly KTL (2021). Prevalence and antibiotic resistance of *Salmonella* isolated from poultry and its environment in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam. *Veterinary World*, 14(12): 3216 – 3223.
- OIE (Office International Des Epizooties) (2000). *Manual of Standards for Diagnostics Tests and Vaccines.* OIE, Paris.
- Okon EM, Okocha RC, Adesina BT, Ehigie JO, Alabi OO, Bolanle AM, Matekwe N, Falana BM, Tiamiyu AM, Olatoye IO and Adedeji OB (2022). Antimicrobial resistance in fish and poultry: Public health implications for animal source food production in Nigeria, Egypt, and South Africa. *Frontiers in Antibiotics*, 1: 1043302.
- Pal P, Bhatta R, Bhattarai R, Acharya P, Singh S, and Harries AD (2022). Antimicrobial resistance in bacteria isolated from the poultry production system in Nepal. *Public Health Action*, 12(4): 165 – 170.
- Parvin MS, Talukder S, Ali MY, Chowdhury EH, Rahman MT and Islam MT (2020). Antimicrobial resistance pattern of *Escherichia coli* isolated from frozen chicken meat in Bangladesh. *Pathogens (Basel, Switzerland),* 9(6) doi: 10.3390/pathogens9060420.
- Patel J, Murin P, Sharif N and Animalu C (2023). Disseminated *Streptococcus gallinaceus* infection. A new breed of zoonotic *Streptococcus*. *Journal of the*

National Medical Association, 115(3): 298 – 301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnma.2023.02. 009.

- Pereira A, Sidjabat HE, Davis S, Vong da Silva PG, Alves A, Dos SC, Jong JBdC, da Conceição F, Felipe NdJ, Ximenes A, Nunes J, Fária, IR, Lope, I, Barnes TS, McKenzie J, Oakley T, Francis JR, Yan J and Ting S (2024). Prevalence of antimicrobial resistance in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* Species isolates from chickens in live bird markets and boot swabs from layer farms in Timor-Leste. *Antibiotics*, 13(2): 120. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics1302 0120
- Peruzy MF, Capuano F, Proroga YTR, Cristiano D, Carullo MR and Murru N (2020). Antimicrobial susceptibility testing for Salmonella serovars isolated from food samples: Five-year monitoring (2015-2019). Antibiotics (Basel), 29; 9(7): 365.
- Pesciaroli M, Magistrali CF, Filippini G, Epifanio EM, Lovito C, Marchi L, Maresca C, Massacci FM, Orsini S, Scoccia E, Tofani S and Pezzotti G (2020). Antibioticresistant commensal Escherichia coli are less frequently isolated from poultry raised using non-conventional management systems than for conventional broilers. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 314: 108293.
- Pławińska-Czarnak J, Wódz K, Kizerwetter-Świda M, Bogdan J, Kwieciński P, Nowak T, Strzałkowska Z and Anusz K. (2022). Multi-drug resistance to *Salmonella* spp. when isolated from raw meat products. *Antibiotics (Basel)*, 11(7): 876.
- Pulingam T, Parumasivam T, Gazzali AM,
 Sulaiman AM, Chee JY, Lakshmanan M,
 Chin CF and Sudesh K (2022).
 Antimicrobial resistance: Prevalence,
 economic burden, mechanisms of
 resistance and strategies to overcome.
 European Journal of Pharmaceutical

Sciences, 170: 106103. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejps.2021.106</u> <u>103</u>.

- Rafiq K, Islam MR, Siddiky NA, Samad MA, Chowdhury S, Hossain KMM, Rume FI, Hossain MK, Mahbub-E-Elahi A, Ali MZ, Rahman M, Amin MR, Masuduzzaman M, Ahmed S, Ara RN and Hossain MT (2022). Antimicrobial resistance profile of common foodborne pathogens recovered from livestock and poultry in Bangladesh. *Antibiotics (Basel)*, 11(11): 1551. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics11111551.
- Rahman MM, Husna A, Elshabrawy HA, Alam J, Runa NJ, Badruzzaman ATM, Banu NA, Al Mamun M, Paul B, Das S, Rahman MM, Mahbub-E-Elahi ATM, Khairalla AS and Ashour HM (2020). Isolation and molecular characterization of multidrugresistant *Escherichia coli* from chicken meat. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1): 21999.
- Rao S, Linke L, Magnuson R, Jauch L and Hyatt DR (2022). Antimicrobial resistance and genetic diversity of *Staphylococcus aureus* collected from livestock, poultry and humans. *One Health*, 15: 100407.
- Raut R, Maharjan P and Fouladkhah AC (2023). Practical preventive considerations for reducing the public health burden of poultry-related Salmonellosis. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 20(17): 6654.
- Ribeiro J, Silva V, Monteiro A, Vieira-Pinto M, Igrejas G, Reis FS, Barros L and Poeta P (2023). Antibiotic resistance among gastrointestinal bacteria in broilers: A review focused on *Enterococcus* spp. and *Escherichia coli*. *Animals*, 13(8): 1362. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ani13081362</u>
- Rivera-Gomis J, Marin P, Otal J, Galecio JS, Martinez-Conesa C and Cubero MJ (2018). Resistance patterns to C and D antibiotic categories for veterinary use of *Campylobacter* spp., *Escherichia coli* and *Enterococcus* spp. commensal isolates from laying hen farms in Spain

during 2018. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 186: 105222.

- Roy K, Islam MS, Paul A, levy S, Talukder M, Sobur MA, Ballah FM, Khan MSR and Rahman MT (2022). Molecular detection and antibiotyping of multi-drug resistant *Enterococcus faecium* from healthy broiler chickens in Bangladesh. *Veterinary Medical Science*, 8(1): 200 – 210.
- Şahan YO, Hesna KE and Öztürk D (2022). Antimicrobial resistance of *E. coli* and *Salmonella* isolated from wild birds in a rehabilitation center in Turkey. *Archives* of Razi Institute, 77: 257 – 267.
- Salam MA, Al-Amin MY, Salam MT, Pawar JS, Akhter N, Rabaan AA and Alqumber MAA (2023). Antimicrobial resistance: A growing serious threat for global public health. *Healthcare*, 11(13): 1946. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare1113</u> 1946
- Samreen IA, Malak HA and Abulreesh HH (2021). Environmental antimicrobial resistance and its drivers: a potential threat to public health. *Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance*, 27: 101 – 111. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2021.08.0</u> 01.
- Semedo-Lemsaddek T, Bettencourt Cota J, Ribeiro T, Pimentel A, Tavares L, Bernando F and Oliveira M (2021). Resistance and virulence distribution in enterococci isolated from broilers reared in two farming systems. *Irish Veterinary Journal*, 74: 22.
- Seo KW and Lee YJ (2021). The occurrence of CTX-M-producing *E. coli* in the broiler parent stock in Korea. *Poultry Science*, 100(2): 1008 1015.
- Seo KW, Shim JB, Kim YB, Son SH, Noh EB, Yoon S, Lim SK and Lee YJ (2020). Impacts and characteristics of antimicrobial resistance of *Escherichia coli* isolates by administration of thirdgeneration cephalosporins in layer

hatcheries. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 243: 108643.

- Serwecińska L (2020). Antimicrobials and Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria: A Risk to the Environment and to Public Health. *Water*, 12(12): 3313. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/w12123313</u>
- Smith KM, Machalaba CC, Seifman R, Feferholtz Y and Karesh WB (2019). Infectious disease and economics: The case for considering multi-sectoral impacts. *One Health*, 7: 100080.
- Sonola VS, Misinzo G and Matee MI (2021). Occurrence of Multidrug-resistant Staphylococcus aureus among humans, rodents, chickens, and household soils in Karatu, Northern Tanzania. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(16): 8496.
- Syed ATS, Rajendiran S, Shaharudin R and Veloo Y (2023). Multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* species and their mobile genetic elements from poultry farm environments in Malaysia. *Antibiotics*, 12(8): 1330.
- Tan M, Li H, Yang Q, Zhang F, Tan J, Zeng Y, Wei Q, Huang J, Wu C, Li N and Kang Z (2023). Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance profile of bacterial pathogens isolated from poultry in Jiangxi Province, China from 2020 to 2022. *Poultry Science*, 102(8): 102830. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2023.1028</u> <u>30</u>.
- Uddin TM, Chakraborty AJ, Khusro A, Zidan BMRM, Mitra S, Emran TB, Dhama K, Ripon MdKH, Gajdács M, Sahibzada MUK, Hossain MdJ and Koirala N (2021). Antibiotic resistance in microbes: History. mechanisms, therapeutic strategies and future prospects. Journal of Infection and Public Health, 14(12): 1750 1766. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2021.10.0 20.
- Velasquez CG, Macklin KS, Kumar S, Bailey M, Ebner PE, Oliver HF, Martin-Gonzalez FS,

Singh M (2018). Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance patterns of *Salmonella* isolated from poultry farms in southeastern United States. *Poultry Science*, 97: 2144 – 2152.

- Vitale M, Galluzzo P, Buffa PG, Carlino E, Spezia O and Alduina R (2019). Comparison of antibiotic resistance profile and biofilm production of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates derived from human specimens and animalderived samples. *Antibiotics*, 8: 97.
- Vounba P, Arsenault J, Bada-Alambédji R and Fairbrother JM (2019). Prevalence of antimicrobial resistance and potential pathogenicity, and possible spread of third generation cephalosporin resistance, in *Escherichia coli* isolated from healthy chicken farms in the region of Dakar, Senegal. *PLoS One*, 14(3) doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0214304.e021 4304.
- Waite DW and Taylor MW (2014). Characterizing the avian gut microbiota: membership, driving influences, and function. potential Frontiers in Microbiology, 5: 223. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.0 0223
- Wang J, Vaddu S, Bhumanapalli S, Mishra A, Applegate T, Singh M and Thippareddi H (2023). A systematic review and metaanalysis of the sources of Salmonella in poultry production (pre-harvest) and their relative contributions to the microbial risk of poultry meat. *Poultry Science*, 102(5): 102566.
- Wang X, Wang H, Li T, Liu F, Cheng Y, Guo X, Wen G, Luo Q, Shao H, Pan Z and Zhang T. (2020). Characterization of *Salmonella* spp. isolated from chickens in Central China. *BMC Veterinary Research*, 16(1): 299.
- Wiersema ML, Koester LR, Schmitz-Esser S and Koltes DA (2021). Comparison of intestinal permeability, morphology, and ileal microbial communities of

commercial hens housed in conventional cages and cage-free housing systems. *Poultry Science*, 100: 1178 – 1191.

- Xexaki A, Papadopoulos DK, Alvanou MV, Giantsis IA, Papageorgiou KV, Delis GA, Economou V, Kritas SK, Sossidou EN and Petridou E. (2023). Prevalence of antibiotic resistant *E. coli* strains isolated from farmed broilers and hens in Greece, based on phenotypic and molecular analyses. *Sustainability*, 15(12): 9421.
- Xu, J., Sangthong, R., McNeil, E., Tang, R. and Chongsuvivatwong. V. (2020). Antibiotic use in chicken farms in northwestern China. Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control 9: 10.
- Zeng YB, Xiong LG, Tan MF, Li, HQ, Yan H, Zhang L, Yin D, Kang Z, Wei Q and Luo LG (2019). Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of Salmonella in pork, chicken, and duck from retail markets of China. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease*, 16(5): 339 – 345.